

CORRIGENDA

In the communication by Yu Yuan, Isabelle Thomé, Seok Hwan Kim, Duanteng Chen, Astrid Beyer, Julien Bonnamour, Erik Zuidema, Sukbok Chang, and Carsten Bolm in Issue 17, 2010, pp. 2892–2898 (DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201000575), in Table 1 on page 2893, the isomeric ratios for entries 8 and 9 should each read $m:o = 52:48$. The editorial office apologizes for this error.
